

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/008,579	10/008,579 11/08/2001		Kenneth Merola	J&J-2066	6614	
27777	7590	12/16/2004	EXAMINER			
PHILIP S. J JOHNSON &			GRAY, DAVID M			
		INSON PLAZA	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW BRUN	ISWICK, N	NJ 08933-7003	2851			
				DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\sim \propto$				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Anti-us Communication		10/008,579	MEROLA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		David M Gray	2851				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. INSIGHT OF THIS COMMUNICA	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a Cause the application to become ABANDON.	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Se	entember 2004	•				
′—		action is non-final.					
3)	,						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers		·				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•				
10)🖂	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 June 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	,	-, (-, -, (-, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -, -				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
	application from the International Bureau		•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	,						
Attachmen	t(s)						
	te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
-	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>9-10-04 & 11-18-04</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/008,579

Art Unit: 2851

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Walsh (4,911,544).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4, 7-10 and 13-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Walsh (4,911,544) in view of Bioengineering of the Skin: Skin Surface Imaging and Analysis.

Walsh discloses analyzing skin for suggesting cosmetic sales based on images using multiple wavelengths of light. Walsh does not disclose "taking a polarized photograph."

Bioengineering of the Skin: Skin Surface Imaging and Analysis teaches that in addition to using multiple wavelengths of light polarized imaging of the skin provides useful analysis. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of applicant's invention to provide

Art Unit: 2851

Walsh with polarized light for analyzing the skin. One would have been motivated to so modify Walsh for the benefit of revealing features not shown by other wavelengths of light.

Regarding claims 15-18, it would have been obvious to substitute a digital camera for the Polaroid camera in Walsh. One would have been motivated to so modify Walsh for the benefit of displaying the image on a monitor.

Regarding claims 19-22, it would have been obvious to take the photographs in a "period of less than 30 seconds." One would have been motivated to do so in order to quickly process the customer to increase sales flow and decrease customer dissatisfaction.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M Gray whose telephone number is 571-272-2119. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-2258. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David M Gray Primary Examiner Art Unit 2851